



The seventeenth meeting (a regular meeting) of the GPAC began at 7:00 p.m. and was called to order by Chairman Klein.

GPAC Members in attendance included: Dana Ashton, Dave Brown, Norm Buehring, Michelle Dornfest, Alan Horwitz, Michael Kaplan, Gary Klein, Peter Kraut, Kim Lamorie, Robert Lia, Mireille Neumann, Robert Odello, Robert Pope, and Candice Weber. GPAC members not present included Michael Friedman, Melissa Olen, Jolie Pfahler, Mark Shear, and Peter Valk.

City staff present included Tom Bartlett, Isidro Figueroa and Elizabeth Parker as well as Assistant City Attorney Dan Ballin. Joe Power with Rincon Consultants was also present.

A copy of the slide presentation for this meeting may be viewed on the City's website. A copy of the Draft General Plan is also posted on the website.

Public Comments

Opportunity for public comments was provided, and one person (Jorge Avants) came forward to speak. Mr. Avants thanked the GPAC for their work on the General Plan and stated an opinion that the Plan should consider not only the physical development of the City, but the social/quality of life aspects as well.

Housekeeping

The GPAC voted to approve the summary of GPAC Meeting 16, with two minor corrections: (1) a note indicating that there were two abstentions (Michelle Dornfest and Michael Friedman) regarding the vote to increase the open space acreage target to 4,000 acres; and (2) an indication that the term "ephemeral stream" will be defined in the Development Code update.

Joe Power provided an update on the status of the General Plan, noting that minor text changes have been made since the April GPAC meeting and describing the process to complete the General Plan. Staff answered a number of questions regarding the General Plan approval process.

General Plan EIR

Joe Power provided a presentation on the Draft General Plan, describing both the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) environmental review process and the major findings of the Draft EIR (as noted above, the presentation can be viewed on the City's website). The GPAC was not asked to take any formal action with respect to the Draft EIR, but individual GPAC members provided the following comments:

- **The mitigation measure requiring health risk analysis for projects within 500 feet of the Ventura Freeway should cite South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) health risk standards.**
- **A policy requiring property owners to disclose the presence of the freeway and possible health risks to users within 500 feet of the Ventura Freeway should be considered.**
- **Make the mitigation measure relating to landslide/liquefaction hazards more comprehensive, considering additional areas of known/suspected geologic risk and requiring City Engineer review and approval of site-specific geologic studies.**
- **Concerns about the cost of traffic mitigation measures were stated, in particular that expensive traffic improvements may merely create the need for more development to fund them.**
- **It was noted that certain areas of the City already experience substantial traffic congestion and suggested that the timing of programmed transportation improvements considered in the EIR analysis needs to be identified.**
- **Suggestions for specific water conservation measures were not made, but it was noted that the City and Las Virgenes Municipal Water District could do more to make water use more efficient.**
- **Concerns were expressed about landslide hazards and oak tree impacts associated with development of multiple family housing on the Golf Course Driving Range site (an alternative site for multiple family housing considered in the EIR)**

Meeting Wrap-Up & Adjournment

The GPAC members were thanked for their service and given a fun General Plan “final exam.” The meeting adjourned at 9:30 p.m.

Submitted by:

Gary Klein, Chairman